1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California		
2	JOSE R. GUERRERO, State Bar No. 97276		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General CATHERINE E. SANTILLAN Senior Legal Application		
4	Senior Legal Analyst 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004		
5	Telephone: (415) 703-5579 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480		
6	Attorneys for Complainant		
7	BEFORE THE		
8	RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	In the Matter of the Acquestion Against	Case No. 1H 2007 547	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: MARK GREGORY TAVLIAN	Case No. 111 2007 347	
12	25 Acapulco Court Novato, CA 94949	ACCUSATION	
13	Novaio, CA 94949		
14	Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 4332 Respondent.		
15	Respondent.		
16	Complainant alleges:		
17	<u>PARTIES</u>		
18	1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her		
19	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California,		
20	Department of Consumer Affairs.		
21	2. On or about June 14, 1985, the Respiratory Care Board issued Respiratory		
22	Care Practitioner License Number 4332 to MARK GREGORY TAVLIAN (Respondent). The		
23	Respiratory Care Practitioner License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the		
24	charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2009, unless renewed.		
25	<u>JURISDICTION</u>		
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Respiratory Care Board (Board),		
27	Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section		
28	references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.		

- 4. Section 3710 of the Code states: "The Respiratory Care Board of California, hereafter referred to as the board, shall enforce and administer this chapter [Chapter 8.3, the Respiratory Care Practice Act]."
- 5. Section 3718 of the Code states: "The board shall issue, deny, suspend, and revoke licenses to practice respiratory care as provided in this chapter."
 - 6. Section 3750 of the Code states:

"The board may order the denial, suspension or revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon, a license issued under this chapter, for any of the following causes:

- "(d) Conviction of a crime that substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner. The record of conviction or a certified copy thereof shall be conclusive evidence of the conviction."
- "(g) Conviction of a violation of any of the provisions of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500), or violating, or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500)."
 - 7. Section 3752 of the Code states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge of any offense which substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The board shall order the license suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.370, states: "For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a respiratory care practitioner, if it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee to perform the functions authorized by his or her license or in a manner inconsistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following: "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of the Act." "(c) Conviction of a crime involving driving under the influence or reckless driving while under the influence." **COST RECOVERY** 9. Section 3753.5, subdivision (a) of the Code states: "In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or applicant found to have committed a violation or violations of law to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case." 10. Section 3753.7 of the Code states: "For purposes of the Respiratory Care Practice Act, costs of prosecution shall include attorney general or other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees, and other administrative, filing, and service fees." Section 3753.1 of the Code states: 11. "(a) An administrative disciplinary decision imposing terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee-probationer pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation. "

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Substantially-related Conviction)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under code sections 3750(d),

3750(g), 3752 [conviction of a substantially-related crime] and CCR 1399.370(a) and (c) [conviction of a crime involving driving under the influence] in that he was convicted of driving under the influence of alcohol in 2007. The circumstances are as follows:

- 13. On or about September 14, 2007, at about 10:45 p.m., Novato Police Officer MacKenzie observed a vehicle speeding between 75 and 80 miles per hour. He activated his patrol vehicle emergency lights and drove behind the vehicle in an attempt to stop the driver. The driver later identified as respondent by his California driver's license pulled over to the curb and stopped.
- 14. Officer Mackenzie observed that Respondent had a moderate odor of alcohol, his eyes were bloodshot and glassy, his speech was slurred, and his balance was unsteady. Officer Mackenzie administered field sobriety tests (FSTs) to Respondent, and Respondent was unable to follow simple instructions. Based on the officer's observation of Respondent's driving, Respondent's objective symptoms, and his poor performance on FSTs, Officer Mackenzie arrested Respondent for violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a) [driving under the influence of alcohol.]
- 15. Respondent submitted to a preliminary alcohol screening test, and the results indicated an alcohol content of .26%/.21%/.21%.
- 16. On or about September 24, 2007, a criminal complaint titled *People of the State of California vs. Mark Gregory Tavlian*, case no. CR155708 was filed in Superior Court for Marin County. Count 1 charged respondent with a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(a) [driving under the influence of alcohol.] Count 2 charged respondent with a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(b) [driving while having a .08% or higher blood alcohol.] It was further alleged that Respondent had a blood alcohol level of .25% within the meaning of Vehicle Code section 23538(b)(2).
- 17. On or about November 1, 2007, respondent entered a plea of guilty to count 2. On or about November 1, 2007, respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to Count 2, a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(b) [driving while having a .08% or higher blood alcohol.] Count 1 and the enhancement were dismissed on motion of the District

1	Attorney. Respondent was granted a conditional sentence for three years. He was given credit		
2	for two days in jail at the rate of \$100.00 per day for a total of \$200.00. He was ordered to pay		
3	fines totaling \$1,344.00, pay restitution, enroll and complete a nine month alcohol and drug		
4	education program within 365 days, and other conditions.		
5	18. Therefore, respondent's license is subject to discipline based on his		
6	conviction, which is substantially related to the practice of respiratory care, and a violation of		
7	code section 3750(d), 3750(g), 3752 [conviction of a substantially-related crime] and CCR		
8	1399.370(a) and (c) [conviction of a crime involving driving under the influence.]		
9	<u>PRAYER</u>		
10	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein		
11	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board issue a decision:		
12	1. Revoking or suspending Respiratory Care Practitioner License Number		
13	4332, issued to MARK GREGORY TAVLIAN.		
14	2. Ordering MARK GREGORY TAVLIAN to pay the Respiratory Care		
15	Board the costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the		
16	costs of probation monitoring;		
17	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
18			
19	DATED: April 15, 2009		
20			
21	Original signed by Colleen Whitestine for:		
22	STEPHANIE NUNEZ Executive Officer		
23	Respiratory Care Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs		
24	State of California Complainant		
25	Complanant		
26			
27	SF2008402590		
28	tavlain_m_accusation.wpd		